

From: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Chen, Lily \(Fed\)](#); [Regenscheid, Andrew R. \(Fed\)](#); [Liu, Yi-Kai \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: RE: next step
Date: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 3:37:06 PM

Andy,

Just wanted to remind you of our timeframe. We're giving 45 days for public comment from when the FRN is published. We already announced the final requirements/criteria would be published in a final report this fall (probably November). If the FRN were published this week, we would have around about two and a half months to take the comments and finalize our Call. That's not tons of time.

Please encourage the lawyers to move quickly! The time they take gets subtracted off of our revision time. Thanks!

Dustin

From: Chen, Lily (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 10:35 AM
To: Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed) <andrew.regenscheid@nist.gov>; Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>; Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed) <yi-kai.liu@nist.gov>
Subject: next step

Hi, Andy:

Could you follow up with Jennifer NIST about the IPR text they promised to provide?

We will need to prepare a note for Chuck on the IPR statement.

1. AES draft call for submissions (two options on IPR), comments, and final version (RF)
2. SHA-3 (RF)
3. PQC (two options) based on comments from GC.

Since you have the AES comments from Jim Foti, would you mind to start the note? (I can start if you share the AES comments).

Thanks,

Lily